



[Handwritten signature]

PATENT

[Handwritten signature]

R&M Docket No.: 170

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

**APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL LETTER WHERE BENEFIT
OF A PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION IS CLAIMED**

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent Application of:

Inventor(s): Jay S. WALKER, Bruce SCHNEIER, James A. JORASCH and
T. Scott CASE

For: CONDITIONAL PURCHASE OFFER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Type of Application:

This application is a:

<i>check one:</i>	
	Divisional Application
	Continuation Application
√	Continuation-in-part Application

of pending parent application Serial Number 08/707,660, filed on September 4, 1996.

- ☐ I hereby verify that the attached papers for this divisional or continuation application are a true copy of the above-identified parent application as filed, including the oath or declaration originally filed and that no amendments referred to in the oath or declaration filed to complete the parent application introduced new matter therein.

268020 STE68880

Relationship Back Where Benefit of Prior U.S. Application is Claimed:

- ☒ Please amend the specification by inserting, before the first line, the following sentence:

“This application is a

- ☐ continuation
☒ continuation-in-part
☐ divisional

of copending patent application Serial No. 08/707,660, filed on September 4, 1996.”

- ☐ The prior U.S. applications designated above, in turn claim foreign priority as follows:

(Number)	(Country)	Day/month/year filed

Oath or Declaration:

- ☒ A Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney is included since this application is a continuation-in-part application which discloses and claims new matter.
- ☐ A Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney is not included since this application is a divisional or a continuation application which does not disclose or claim new matter.

Small Entity Status:

- ☒ A Verified Statement of Small Entity Status is enclosed herewith.
- ☐ A Verified Statement of Small Entity Status was filed in the parent application and status as a small entity is still proper and desired. A copy is enclosed herewith.
- ☐ Applicant is a large entity.

Inventorship:

- ☐ With respect to the inventorship of the copending parent application, from which this application claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120, the inventor(s) in this application is (are) less than those named in the copending parent application and the following inventor(s) should be deleted from this application:

Inventor(s): _____

08/707,660 "6TE5330"

Previously Filed Materials:

- ☐ Please transfer the drawings from the prior application to the new application. A copy of the formal drawings are enclosed herewith.
- ☐ A true copy of the prior application as filed is enclosed, including a copy of the Oath or Declaration filed in the parent application.
- ☒ The prior application is assigned of record to Walker Asset Management Limited Partnership, of Stamford, CT.
- ☒ The power of attorney in the prior application is to Morgan & Finnegan, L.L.P. et al.
- ☒ Please address all future communications to:

Kevin M. Mason
Ryan & Mason, L.L.P.
40 Cranston Street
Fairfield, CT 06430

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,
RYAN & MASON, L.L.P.

Dated: July 1, 1997

By: Kevin M. Mason
Kevin M. Mason
Reg. No. 36,597

Mailing Address:

Ryan & Mason, L.L.P.
40 Cranston Street
Fairfield, CT 06430
TEL: (203) 255-6560
FAX: (203) 255-6570

PATENT

R&M Docket No.: 1700-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
)
Jay S. WALKER;) Examiner: To Be Assigned
Bruce SCHNEIER;)
James A. JORASCH; and)
T. Scott CASE)
)
Serial No.: To Be Assigned) Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned
)
Filed: Concurrently Herewith)
)
For: CONDITIONAL PURCHASE OFFER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EI140492513US
Date of Deposit: July 8, 1997

I hereby certify that the attached paper or fee:
Application Transmittal Letter Where Benefit of a Prior U.S. Application is
Claimed;
47 page Application, including 17 pages of claims and 1 page of Abstract;
27 sheets of drawings;
Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney;
Verified Statement Claiming Small Entity Status;
Assignment with recordation cover sheet;
Checks in the amount of \$1,712.00 and \$40.00 to cover the application filing fee
and Assignment recordation fee; and
Return Postal Card.

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office To
Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to BOX
PATENT APPLICATION, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Kevin M. Mason
(Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)

Kevin M. Mason

(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

268020 6FE6880

CONDITIONAL PURCHASE OFFER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Cross-Reference To Related Applications

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No.
5 08/707,660, filed September 4, 1996.

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to a system for selling goods and services,
such as airline tickets and, more particularly, to a method and system for managing the sale of such
10 goods and services by a seller, such as an airline, to customers who have submitted an offer for the
purchase of such items.

Background of the Invention

Airlines and other sellers have developed sophisticated revenue management
15 systems (RMSs) to optimize revenue. Generally, when a flight is first added to an airline's flight
schedule, the airline's revenue management system attempts to maximize revenue for the flight by
establishing a plurality of fare classes and then allocating the number of seats and price assigned to
each fare class. The revenue management system will thereafter continue to monitor the actual
demand within each fare class relative to forecasted demand, to dynamically reevaluate the
20 inventory allocation and pricing of each fare class for a given flight. In this manner, the airlines
attempt to fly each aircraft as full as possible without allowing earlier-booking discount-fare
passengers to displace later-booking full-fare passengers.

While conventional revenue management systems employ sophisticated tools to
anticipate future travel, forecasting errors invariably lead to unanticipated excess capacity. In
25 addition, an airline can utilize its revenue management system to forecast its anticipated excess
capacity on a given flight associated with seats that are predicted to be empty. Furthermore,
unexpected external events, such as a price war or extreme weather conditions, can also affect an
airline's excess capacity. Thus, in an attempt to reduce such excess capacity, airlines periodically
reevaluate the inventory allocation and pricing of each fare class for a given flight. An airline
30 cannot simply discount the published fares for such unsold seats, however, without either starting
a fare war or compromising its own underlying fare structure (i.e., without also reducing its full-
fare prices for business travelers). Thus, there is currently no effective way for airlines to dispose
of such excess capacity.

Although many airlines fill empty seats with "standby" passengers, this practice is
35 typically limited to instances where some oversight on the part of either the passenger or the airline

has occurred. For example, the passenger's flight may have been overbooked, the passenger may have missed an original flight, or the passenger may have purchased a ticket at or near the time of the flight. Moreover, standby travel is costly for the airline and is inconvenient for the passenger because there is no guarantee that the passenger will get to fly on the same day.

5 In addition, airlines attempt to sell excess capacity utilizing consolidators, who traditionally sell airline tickets at a discount. Since the terms of the relationship between the airlines and the consolidators are generally not flight specific and are typically defined months in advance, the sale of tickets through a consolidator does not provide a sufficiently dynamic mechanism for airlines to sell such excess capacity when actual demand fails to meet forecasted demand. Even
10 assuming that the airlines could release the tickets for sale through the consolidators at the last minute, there is currently no effective way for the consolidators to announce the availability and price of such tickets to customers.

Airlines recognize that there is a large source of latent demand associated with
leisure travelers who are willing to purchase tickets at a favorable price. There is currently no
15 effective way, however, for an airline to receive an offer from a customer for leisure travel at a particular price set by the customer, below the airline's published fare. In particular, there is no effective way for the airline to be confident that if the airline accepts the customer's offer, the customer will book the ticket without using the information to ascertain the airline's underlying level of price flexibility, which, if known to an airline's competitors or customers, could
20 dramatically impact the airline's overall revenue structure.

As apparent from the above deficiencies with conventional systems for selling
goods and services, such as airline tickets, a need exists for a system that permits a seller to sell
excess capacity when actual demand fails to meet forecasted demand. A further need exists for a
buyer-driven system that permits an airline to sell tickets to leisure travelers at a price set by the
25 customer, typically below the airline's published fare. Yet another need exists for a system that permits sellers to stimulate sales of excess inventory, without compromising the seller's published price structure. Another need exists for a system that permits sellers to capture and process consumer demand for each selling price of a given item, such as a given fare class on each airline
flight.

Summary of the Invention

Generally, according to one aspect of the invention, a conditional purchase offer
(CPO) management system is disclosed for receiving conditional purchase offers from one or more
customers, such as airline passengers, and for evaluating the received CPOs against a number of
35 CPO rules defined by a plurality of sellers, such as airlines, to determine whether any seller is

willing to accept a given CPO. A CPO is a binding offer containing one or more conditions submitted by a customer for purchase of an item, such as airline travel, at a customer-defined price.

A CPO rule is a set of restrictions defined by a given seller, such as an airline, to define a combination of restrictions for which the seller is willing to accept a predefined minimum price. The CPO rules are utilized by the CPO management system to render a decision to either accept, reject or counter a CPO on behalf of a particular seller.

In an illustrative airline embodiment, the CPO rules are preferably generated by the revenue management system (RMS) of the respective airline by evaluating current inventory, pricing and revenue information, as well as historical patterns, to forecast future travel. In alternate embodiments, the CPO rules may be generated by a yield management system, a profit management system, or any system which controls and manages inventory. The RMS may be embodied as a conventional RMS, as modified herein to generate CPO rules and to otherwise allocate and price airline tickets for sale to CPO customers. In one preferred embodiment, the RMS will periodically execute a CPO rule generation process to generate CPO rules that encourage the sale of tickets to CPO customers.

The CPO management system preferably includes a CPO management central server and one or more secured airline servers. Each secured airline server may be associated with one or more airlines and each server stores, among other things, the CPO rules defined by any associated airlines. Each secured airline server may be remotely located from the CPO management central server, or may be integrated with the CPO management central server. In one remote embodiment, the secured airline server associated with one or more airlines may be physically located at a processing facility secured by the particular airline.

The CPO rules contain sensitive information, including price flexibility and available capacity, which, if known to an airline's competitors or customers, could dramatically impact the airline's overall revenue structure. Thus, according to a feature of the present invention, the CPO rules may be securely stored by each airline server, to prevent one airline from accessing, obtaining or altering the CPO rules of another airline. In one embodiment, the secured airline servers utilize encryption techniques and database access control mechanisms.

According to a further aspect of the invention, the CPO management system prevents customers from submitting multiple CPOs containing a progressively increasing price in order to identify the airline's defined minimum price for a given flight. For example, if a CPO will be binding upon the customer if accepted by any airline, the customer will be discouraged from "pinging" the CPO management system to identify the airline's underlying price flexibility. In addition, the CPO management system can limit the number of CPOs which any customer can submit within a predefined time period. In alternate embodiments, the customer or travel agent

can be charged a fee or a penalty if a ticket is not booked when at least one airline has accepted the CPO or the CPO management system can evaluate a rating of the customer containing information regarding the likelihood that said customer will book a ticket corresponding to said CPO. In this manner, the airline can be confident that if the airline accepts the customer's offer, the customer
5 will book the ticket without using the information to ascertain the airline's underlying level of price flexibility.

Customers may contact the CPO management system by means of telephone, facsimile, online access, e-mail, in-person contact or through a travel agent, to provide the CPO management system with the terms of their CPO. In one preferred embodiment, the customer
10 may contact the CPO management system 100 by means of a telephone, with the CPO management system 100 collecting information from the customer 110 related to the CPO using an interactive voice response unit (IVRU) (not shown). Once the terms of the CPO have been received by the CPO management system, the CPO management central server will execute a CPO management process to compare the received CPO against the CPO rules of each airline, to determine whether to
15 accept, reject or counter the CPO. Thereafter, the customer is notified of the response of the airlines to the CPO. If an airline accepts the CPO, or if the customer accepts a counteroffer from an airline, a ticket is then booked by the CPO management system with the appropriate restrictions.

The CPO management system may optionally access a central reservation system (CRS) or proprietary airline reservation systems (ARSS) of each airline, to perform itinerary
20 queries that will identify particular flights which satisfy a given itinerary, and to make reservations.

According to a further aspect of the invention, the minimum requirements of a CPO may be designed to discourage utilization of this system by business travelers, last-minute travelers and other passengers who are typically willing to pay full-fare. For example, business travelers will be discouraged if the CPO rules require a Saturday night stay or significant flexibility by the
25 customer on both the departure and return portions of the customer's itinerary. In this manner, business travelers, who are typically unwilling to lose up to a full day at either end of their trip, will be discouraged from purchasing such discounted tickets. Thus, the present invention permits airlines to fill otherwise empty seats in a manner that stimulates latent and unfulfilled leisure travel demand while leaving underlying fare structures of the airlines intact.

According to a further aspect of the invention, an airline can correct for forecasting errors, if necessary, or other competitive forces which have produced unanticipated excess capacity, by releasing tickets for sale to CPO customers. Due to the confidential nature of the CPO rules, and the discouraged use of CPO tickets by full-fare business travelers, the airlines can sell such excess capacity at a discount, without undermining its existing published fare structure.
30

A more complete understanding of the present invention, as well as further features
35

and advantages of the present invention, will be obtained by reference to the following detailed description and drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 is a schematic block diagram illustrating a conditional purchase offer (CPO) management system in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a schematic block diagram of the exemplary CPO management central server of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a schematic block diagram of the exemplary secured airline server of FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 is a schematic block diagram of the exemplary central reservation system of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5a is a schematic block diagram of the exemplary reservation management system (RMS) of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5b illustrates the interaction between the RMS, the airline reservation system and the various databases depicted in FIG. 5a, during a conventional pricing and allocation process and the CPO rules generation process of FIG. 19;

FIG. 5c illustrates the actual demand over time for airline tickets within a given fare class, relative to forecasted demand;

FIG. 6 illustrates a sample table from the customer database of FIG. 2;

FIG. 7 illustrates a sample table from the airline database of FIG. 2;

FIG. 8 illustrates a sample table from the flight schedule database of FIGS. 2 and 4;

FIGS. 9a and 9b, collectively, illustrate a sample table from the CPO database of FIG. 2;

FIG. 10a illustrates a sample table from the secured airlines rules database of FIG. 3;

FIGS. 10b and 10c, collectively, illustrate alternative sample tables to the secured airlines rules database of FIG. 3;

FIG. 11 illustrates a sample table from the counteroffer rules database of FIG. 3;

FIG. 12 illustrates a sample table from the secured airline audit database of FIG. 3;

FIG. 13 illustrates a sample table from the pricing and restrictions database of FIGS. 4 and 5a;

FIG. 14 illustrates a sample table from the seat allocation database of FIGS. 4 and 5a;

FIG. 15 illustrates a sample table from the forecast and demand analysis database of

FIG. 5a;

FIGS. 16a through 16c, collectively, are a flow chart describing an exemplary CPO management process implemented by the CPO management central server of FIG. 2;

FIGS. 17a and 17b, collectively, are a flowchart describing an exemplary evaluation process implemented by the secured airline server of FIG. 3;

FIG. 18 is a flow chart describing an exemplary audit process implemented by the secured airline server of FIG. 3; and

FIG. 19 is a flow chart describing an exemplary CPO rule generation process implemented by the revenue management system of FIG. 5a.

Detailed Description

FIG. 1 shows a conditional purchase offer (CPO) management system 100 for receiving conditional purchase offers from one or more customers or travel agents 110, hereinafter referred to as customer 110, and for evaluating the received CPOs against a number of CPO rules defined by a plurality of sellers, such as airlines 120, 130, to determine whether any seller is willing to accept a given CPO. As discussed further below, if a seller accepts a given CPO, the CPO management system 100 binds the customer 110 on behalf of the accepting seller 130, to form a legally binding contract.

As used herein, a CPO is a binding offer containing one or more conditions submitted by a customer 110 for the purchase of an item, such as air travel, at a customer-defined price. In the illustrative airline embodiment, the customer-defined conditions would include itinerary parameters, such as the origin and destination cities; acceptable dates and times of departure and return; and whether connecting flights or stopovers are acceptable to the customer. In addition, the parameters of a CPO may allow a customer to specify one or more preferred airline(s), flights, seat assignments, seat class, aircraft type, refund/change rules, or maximum layover time.

As discussed further below, a CPO rule is a set of restrictions defined by a given seller, such as an airline, to define a combination of such restrictions for which the seller is willing to accept a predefined minimum price. In a preferred embodiment, the CPO rules are generated by the revenue management system 500 of the respective airline. In alternate embodiments, the CPO rules may be generated by a yield management system, a profit management system, or any system which controls and manages inventory.

As discussed more fully below in conjunction with FIGS. 5b and 19, the revenue management system 500 will employ a CPO rules generation process 1900 to generate CPO rules by evaluating current inventory, pricing and revenue information, as well as historical patterns and

external events, to forecast future travel. Thereafter, the CPO rules are utilized by the CPO management system 100 to render a decision to either accept, reject or counter a CPO on behalf of a particular airline. According to a feature of the present invention, the CPO rules are dynamic in nature and may be updated by a given airline, as necessary.

For example, a CPO rule for a given airline can specify that the airline will accept any CPO for travel between Newark, New Jersey (EWR) and Orlando, Florida (MCO) during the month of October, 1997, provided that (i) the customer travels between Tuesday and Thursday, (ii) the tickets are booked within 21 days of departure, (iii) the price is at least \$165 per ticket, (iv) K-class inventory is available on all flight segments of the customer's itinerary, and (v) there are at least two (2) passengers travelling together.

Although the CPO management system 100 is illustrated herein as a system for selling airline tickets, the CPO management system 100 could be utilized to sell any good or service, such as automobiles, insurance, computer equipment, or hotel accommodations, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill. For a more detailed discussion of a general CPO management system for selling such items, see U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/707,660, filed September 4, 1996, the parent application to the present invention, which is incorporated by reference herein. It is noted that in such alternate embodiments, the revenue management system 500, discussed below in conjunction with FIGS. 5a through 5c, may be embodied as an inventory management system or any other system utilized by the seller to establish pricing and inventory information for the respective item.

CPO MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

As shown in FIG. 1, the CPO management system 100 preferably includes a CPO management central server 200 and one or more secured airline servers 300. As discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 3, each secured airline server 300 may be associated with one or more airlines and each server 300 stores, among other things, the CPO rules defined by any associated airlines, such as the airline 120. Each secured airline server 300 may be remotely located from the CPO management central server 200, as shown in FIG. 1, or may be integrated with the CPO management central server 200. In one remote embodiment, the secured airline server 300 associated with each airline may be physically located at a processing facility secured by the particular airline, or at the physical location of a third party.

The particular location of the secured airline servers 300 will dictate the nature of the information that is transmitted between the airlines 120, 130 and the CPO management system 100, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill. For example, if the secured airline servers 300 are integrated with the CPO management central server 200, or are otherwise remotely located from the respective airlines 120, 130, then the respective airline 120, 130 will transmit the CPO

rules to the location of the airline's associated secured airline server 300 for storage of the CPO rules and application of the CPO rules against each received CPO. Likewise, if the secured airline servers 300 are physically located at the processing facility secured by the associated airline, then the CPO management central server 200 will transmit the CPOs to each airline for processing and the airlines will return the response for each CPO to the CPO management central server 200.

The CPO rules contain sensitive information, including price flexibility and available capacity, which, if known to an airline's competitors or customers, could dramatically impact the airline's overall revenue structure. Thus, according to a feature of the present invention, the CPO rules are preferably securely stored by each airline server 300, if necessary, to prevent one airline 120 from accessing, obtaining or altering the CPO rules of another airline 130. In one embodiment, the secured airline servers 300 utilize computer security techniques, such as database access control mechanisms. In this manner, the integrity and confidentiality of the CPO rules are maintained in the potentially hostile computing environment.

In addition, according to a further feature of the invention, the CPO management system 100 prevents customers 110 from submitting multiple CPOs containing a progressively increasing price in order to identify the airline's defined minimum price for a given flight. For example, if the CPO will be binding upon the customer 110 if accepted by any airline 120, the customer 100 will be discouraged from "pinging" the CPO management system 100 to identify the airline's underlying price flexibility. In addition, the CPO management system 100 can limit the number of CPOs which any customer 110 can submit within a predefined time period.

In alternate embodiments, the customer or travel agent 110 can be charged a fee or a penalty if a ticket is not booked when at least one airline has accepted the CPO or the CPO management system 100 can evaluate a rating of said customer 110 containing information regarding the likelihood that said customer 110 will book a ticket corresponding to said CPO. For a more detailed description of a suitable rating system, see U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/811,349, filed March 4, 1997, entitled AIRLINE PRICE INQUIRY METHOD AND SYSTEM, assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated by reference herein. In one embodiment, the evaluated rating comprises a ratio of bookings to purchase offers by said customer 110. In this manner, the airline can be confident that if the airline accepts the customer's offer, the customer will book the ticket without using the information to ascertain the airline's underlying level of price flexibility. The particular location of a given secured airline server 300 may also impact the level of security measures that the associated airline(s) may desire for the sensitive CPO rules. For example, if a given secured airline server 300 is dedicated to a single airline and is physically located at a processing facility secured by the associated airline, then the respective airline may implement its own minimal security measures to control the processing of

each CPO against its own CPO rules, if desired, and thereby maintain the integrity and confidentiality of the price-sensitive information incorporated into the CPO rules. If, however, a given secured airline server 300 stores the CPO rules for a plurality of airlines and is remotely located from such airlines, then the importance of implementing computer security and database access control mechanisms may be increased, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill.

As discussed further below, each customer 110 contacts the CPO management system 100, for example, by means of telephone, facsimile, online access, e-mail, in-person contact or through a travel agent, and provides the CPO management system 100 with the terms of their CPO. It is noted that each customer 110 may employ a general-purpose computer for communicating with the CPO management system 100. The general-purpose computer of each customer 110 is preferably comprised of a processing unit, a modem, memory means and any software required to communicate with the CPO management system 100.

Once the terms of the CPO have been received by the CPO management system 100, the CPO management central server 200 will execute a CPO management process 1600, discussed below in conjunction with FIGS. 16a through 16c, to compare the received CPO against the CPO rules of each airline. A result of this comparison, the CPO is either accepted, rejected or countered. Thereafter, the customer 110 is notified of the response of the airlines to the CPO. If an airline accepts the CPO, or if the customer 110 accepts a counteroffer from an airline, a ticket is then booked by the CPO management system 100 with the appropriate restrictions which meet the conditions defined by the customer 110.

According to a further feature of the present invention, the minimum requirements of a CPO are designed to discourage utilization of this system by business travelers or last-minute travelers who are typically willing to pay full-fare. For example, business travelers will be discouraged if the CPO rules require a Saturday night stay or significant flexibility by the customer 110 on the time of both the departure and return portions of the customer's itinerary. In this manner, business travelers, who are typically unwilling to lose up to a full day at either end of their trip, will be discouraged from purchasing such discounted tickets. Thus, the present invention permits airlines to fill otherwise empty seats in a manner that stimulates latent and unfulfilled leisure travel demand while leaving underlying fare structures of the airlines 120, 130 intact.

Likewise, in embodiments where the CPO management system is utilized for the sale of any item, the minimum requirements of a CPO are preferably designed to discourage utilization of this system by customers who are typically willing to pay the full retail price. For example, when selling fashion items, CPO customers can be required to purchase fashions from the previous season. Similarly, the CPO rules can be designed to require the purchase of multiple quantities of a given item, and thereby discourage use by consumers looking for one item, who are

more likely to pay the full retail price.

In a preferred embodiment, the CPO management system 100 may optionally access a central reservation system (CRS) 400, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 4, to perform itinerary queries that will identify particular flights which satisfy a given itinerary, and to make reservations. The central reservation system (CRS) 400 may be embodied, for example, as an existing conventional reservation system, such as Apollo, Sabre, System One or Worldspan.

In addition, the CPO management system 100 could alternatively access the proprietary airline reservation systems (ARSs) 150 of each airline to perform such itinerary queries and to make reservations with the respective airline. The airline reservation systems (ARSs) 150 maintained by each airline 120, are each essentially a subset of the central CRS 400. Thus, in view of the overlapping functions and capabilities of the CRS 400 and the proprietary reservation systems 150 of each airline, the CPO management system 100 could access any of such systems to obtain required information, and the terms "CRS" and "ARS" are used interchangeably herein.

As shown in FIG. 1, each airline 120, 130, also has a revenue management system (RMS) 500, discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 5a through 5c. The RMS 500 may be embodied as a conventional RMS, as modified herein to generate CPO rules and to otherwise allocate and price airline tickets for sale to CPO customers.

Generally, the revenue management systems (RMSs) 500 are utilized to optimize revenue per flight, in a known manner. An RMS performs seat inventory control by periodically adjusting nested booking limits ("buckets") for the various fare classes, in order to optimize the passenger mix and thereby maximize the generated revenue.

The CPO management system 100, customer 110, airlines 120, 130 and central reservation system 400 (collectively, the "nodes") preferably transmit digitally encoded data and other information between one another. The communication links between the nodes preferably comprise a cable, fiber or wireless link on which electronic signals can propagate. For example, each node may be connected via an Internet connection using a public switched telephone network (PSTN), such as those provided by a local or regional telephone operating company. Alternatively, each node may be connected by dedicated data lines, cellular, Personal Communication Systems ("PCS"), microwave, or satellite networks.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram showing the architecture of an illustrative CPO management central server 200. The CPO management central server 200 preferably includes certain standard hardware components, such as a central processing unit (CPU) 205, a random access memory (RAM) 210, a read only memory (ROM) 220, a clock 225, a data storage device 230, and communications ports 240, 250, 260. The CPU 205 is preferably linked to each of the other listed elements, either by means of a shared data bus, or dedicated connections, as shown in

FIG. 2.

The CPU 205 may be embodied as a single commercially available processor, such as Intel's Pentium 100 MHz P54C microprocessor, Motorola's 120 MHz PowerPC 604 microprocessor or Sun Microsystem's 166 MHz UltraSPARC-I microprocessor. Alternatively, the CPU 205 may be embodied as a number of such processors operating in parallel.

The ROM 220 and/or data storage device 230 are operable to store one or more instructions, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 16, which the CPU 205 is operable to retrieve, interpret and execute. For example, the ROM 220 and/or data storage device 230 preferably store processes to accomplish the transfer of required payments, charges and debits, between the airlines 120, 130 and customers 110. In particular, as discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 16c, the CPO management process 1600 preferably transmits the credit card information associated with a given customer 110 to the credit card issuer for payment, if a ticket is actually issued to the customer 110. The processing of such accounting transactions are preferably secured in a conventional manner, for example, using well known cryptographic techniques.

The CPU 205 preferably includes a control unit, an arithmetic logic unit (ALU), and a CPU local memory storage device, such as, for example, a stackable cache or a plurality of registers, in a known manner. The control unit is operable to retrieve instructions from the data storage device 230 or ROM 220. The ALU is operable to perform a plurality of operations needed to carry out instructions. The CPU local memory storage device is operable to provide high-speed storage used for storing temporary results and control information.

As discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 6 through 9, respectively, the data storage device 230 includes a customer database 600, an airline database 700, a flight schedule database 800, and a CPO database 900. The customer database 600 preferably stores information on each customer of the CPO management system 100, including biographical information and billing information, such as a credit card number. The airline database 700 preferably stores information on each airline which is registered with the CPO management system 100 to sell airline tickets to CPO customers, including address and contact information. The flight schedule database 800 preferably stores specific flight information for each O & D Pair. Finally, the CPO database 900 preferably contains a record of each CPO being processed by the CPO management system 100, including the terms of the CPO and the associated status.

In addition, the data storage device 230 includes a CPO management process 1600, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 16. Generally, the CPO management process 1600 receives each CPO from a customer 110, compares the CPO against the CPO rules of each airline 120, 130, and determines whether to accept, reject or counter the CPO on behalf of an airline.

The communications port 240 connects the CPO management central server 200 to the central reservation system (CRS) 400 and the proprietary reservation systems (ARs) 150 maintained by each airline 120, 130. The communications port 250 connects the CPO management central server 200 to individual customers and travel agents, such as the customer 110, for example, by means of an Internet connection using the public switched telephone network (PSTN). The communications port 260 connects the CPO management central server 200 to any remote secured airline servers 300. The communications ports 240, 250, 260 each preferably include multiple communication channels for simultaneously establishing a plurality of connections. It is noted that although the CPO management central server 200 is illustrated as having three separate communication ports 240, 250, 260, the CPO management central server 200 could alternatively be implemented with a single connection to an ethernet network, which in turn provides the central server 200 with a connection to the various nodes.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram showing the architecture of an illustrative secured airline server 300. As previously indicated, the CPO management system 100 may utilize one or more secured airline servers 300, each supporting one or more airlines 120, 130. Each secured airline server 300 preferably includes certain standard hardware components, such as a central processing unit (CPU) 305, a random access memory (RAM) 310, a read only memory (ROM) 320, a clock 325, a data storage device 330, and communications ports 340, 345. Each of these components may be identical to those described above in conjunction with FIG. 2.

As previously indicated, in one embodiment, the CPO rules may be stored in a secure database to maintain the integrity and confidentiality of the highly sensitive information included in each CPO rule. Thus, the secured airline server 300 preferably uses a secure database, such as the products commercially available from Oracle, Informix or IBM.

As discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 10 through 12, respectively, the data storage device 330 includes a secured airline rules database 1000, a counteroffer rules database 1100, and a secured airline audit database 1200. The secured airline rules database 1000 preferably maintains the CPO rules for the one or more airlines associated with the secured airline server 300. The counteroffer rules database 1100 is preferably stored by each secured airline server 300 to maintain a set of tolerances which may be utilized by the CPO management system 100 to generate a counteroffer to a CPO on behalf of an airline, if the CPO is within predefined tolerances of one or more restrictions associated with a given CPO rule. As previously indicated, the secured airline rules database 1000 and the counteroffer rules database 1100 may be stored in an encrypted format to maintain the integrity and confidentiality of the highly sensitive information included in the CPO rules. The secured airline audit database 1200 preferably maintains an audit trail for each CPO that is processed by the CPO management system 100.

In addition, the data storage device 330 includes an evaluation process 1700 and an audit process 1800, discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 17 and 18, respectively. Generally, the evaluation process 1700 is a subroutine executed by the CPO management process 1600, which receives a CPO and compares the CPO against the rules of one airline, such as the airline 120, to generate a response on behalf of the airline to the given CPO. The audit process 1800 is a subroutine executed by the CPO management process 1600 to maintain an audit trail for each CPO that is processed by the CPO management system 100.

The communications port 340 connects the secured airline server 300 to the CPO management central server 200. The communications port 345 connects the secured airline server 300 to the associated airlines(s) 120. The communications ports 340, 345 preferably include multiple communication channels for simultaneously establishing a plurality of connections.

CENTRAL RESERVATION SYSTEM

FIG. 4 is a block diagram showing the architecture of an illustrative central reservation system (CRS) server 400. The CRS 400 preferably includes certain standard hardware components, such as a central processing unit (CPU) 405, a random access memory (RAM) 410, a read only memory (ROM) 420, a clock 425, a data storage device 430, and a communications port 440. Each of these components may be identical to those described above in conjunction with FIG. 2.

The ROM 420 and/or data storage device 430 are operable to store one or more instructions, for processing (1) flight information received from the airlines; (2) itinerary inquiries regarding flight availability; and (3) ticket bookings, in a known manner, which the CPU 405 is operable to retrieve, interpret and execute.

As discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 8, 13 and 14, respectively, the data storage device 430 includes a flight schedule database 800, a pricing and restrictions database 1300, and a seat allocation database 1400. As previously indicated, the flight schedule database 800 contains essentially the same flight information as the database of the same name which is stored by the CPO management central server 200, namely, specific flight information for each O & D Pair. The pricing and restrictions database 1300 maintains pricing information and related restrictions for each fare class on a given flight offered by the airlines 120, 130. The seat allocation database 1400 maintains available inventory information for each fare class on a given flight offered by the airlines 120, 130.

The communications port 440 connects the CRS 400 to the CPO management central server 200 and to each airline, such as the airlines 120, 130. The CRS 400 preferably includes an electronic mail processor 450 for processing and storing e-mail messages transmitted between the CRS 400 and the various customers 110, airlines 120, 130 and the CPO management

system 100.

REVENUE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

FIG. 5a is a block diagram showing the architecture of an illustrative revenue management system (RMS) 500, as maintained by each airline, such as the airline 120. As previously indicated, the RMS 500 may be embodied as a conventional RMS, such as an RMS commercially available from Sabre Decision Technologies, as modified herein to generate CPO rules and to otherwise allocate and price airline tickets for sale to CPO customers. In this manner, the RMS 500 makes a portion of the inventory of an airline 120 available for sale to CPO customers 110. It is noted that the RMS for many airlines performs only the function of inventory allocation and does not incorporate a pricing function. In such cases, a separate system, such as a manual process, is utilized to price inventory which has been allocated by the RMS. In the illustrative embodiment disclosed herein, the RMS 500 performs both the inventory allocation and pricing functions.

The RMS 500 preferably includes certain standard hardware components, such as a central processing unit (CPU) 505, a random access memory (RAM) 510, a read only memory (ROM) 520, a clock 525, a data storage device 530, and a communications port 540. Each of these components may be identical to those described above in conjunction with FIG. 2.

The ROM 520 and/or data storage device 530 are operable to store one or more instructions, for analyzing current seating inventory and revenue, as well as historical patterns, to allocate and price available seat inventory in an effort to maximize revenue for the airline, which the CPU 405 is operable to retrieve, interpret and execute.

As discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 13 through 15, respectively, the data storage device 530 includes a pricing and restrictions database 1300, and a seat allocation database 1400, which each contain essentially the same information as the databases of the same name stored by the CRS 400, as well as a forecast and demand analysis database 1500. As previously indicated, the pricing and restrictions database 1300 maintains pricing information and related restrictions for each fare class on a given flight offered by the associated airline 120, and the seat allocation database 1400 maintains available inventory information for each fare class on a given flight offered by the associated airline 120. The forecast and demand analysis database 1500 contains information on each selling price for each fare class for a given flight, and the forecasted demand at each selling price as established by the RMS 500. In addition, the data storage device 530 preferably includes a CPO rules generation process 1900, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 19, to generate CPO rules by evaluating current inventory, pricing and revenue information, as well as historical patterns, to forecast future travel.

The communications port 540 connects each RMS 500 to the CRS 400 and the

CPO management system 100.

FIG. 5b illustrates the manner in which the RMS 500 utilizes a number of databases and other tools in implementing a conventional pricing and allocation process and the CPO rules generation process 1900. The particular format and content of the illustrative databases shown in FIG. 5b are discussed in detail below in conjunction with FIGS. 13 through 15. It is noted that the conventional pricing and allocation process and the CPO rules generation process 1900 may be executed by the RMS 500 initially when a flight is first added to the flight schedule, and then periodically to reallocate and price available inventory in response to demand and external events.

Thus, when a flight is first added to the flight schedule of an airline 120, a record of the flight is preferably created by the airline reservation system 150 in the flight schedule database 800 with the appropriate itinerary information. In addition, the RMS 500 will perform a conventional pricing and allocation process in conjunction with the CPO rules generation process 1900, shown in FIG. 19, to initially populate the respective fields of the pricing and restrictions database 1300, seat allocation database 1400, and forecast and demand analysis database 1500 for the flight, as shown in FIG. 5b.

Generally, during the initial pricing and allocation process for a given flight, the RMS 500 attempts to maximize revenue by establishing a plurality of fare classes and allocating the number of seats and price assigned to each fare class. The initial seat allocation and pricing information is stored in the seat allocation database 1400 and the pricing and restrictions database 1300, respectively. The initial price for each fare class and the forecasted demand is preferably stored in the forecast and demand analysis database 1500. In one embodiment, a separate fare class can be established by the RMS 500 for selling tickets to CPO customers. Since tickets to CPO customers are generally sold at a discount, the RMS 500 preferably only initially allocates seats to the CPO fare class which are forecasted to be empty or unlikely to be sold when the flight actually departs. As is well known, an airline can utilize a conventional RMS 500 to predict, based on available historical data, whether or not there will be empty seats on a given flight.

As shown in FIG. 5b, the airline reservation system (ARS) 150 will access the established pricing and restrictions database 1300 and seat allocation database 1400 to perform itinerary queries. In addition, as tickets are sold by the airline 120, the ARS 150 will preferably decrement the available inventory in the seat allocation database 1400. In this manner, the seat allocation database 1400 maintains an up-to-date representation of the available inventory on each flight.

The RMS 500 will continue to monitor the actual demand 560 within each fare class relative to forecasted demand 570, as illustrated by FIG. 5c, to dynamically reevaluate the inventory allocation and pricing of each fare class for a given flight in order to minimize the

unanticipated excess inventory delta 580. The RMS 500 monitors current actual demand information by retrieving detailed inventory data from the seat allocation database 1400 or summary information from the forecast and demand analysis database 1500. In addition, the RMS 500 will utilize the historical demand information stored in the forecast and demand analysis database 1500 for prior periods, which essentially provides a demand curve for each selling price of a given fare class on each flight. For example, when allocating and pricing inventory for a given flight, the RMS 500 may analyze demand trends for similar flights from previous relevant time periods, in a known manner. It is also noted that conventional RMSs typically respond to competitive forces and other external events, such as price wars or increased demand due to a large event, such as the Olympics, as shown in FIG. 5b.

According to a feature of the present invention, an airline 120 can correct for forecasting errors, if necessary, or other competitive forces which have produced unanticipated excess capacity 580, by releasing tickets for sale to CPO customers. Due to the confidential nature of the CPO rules, and the discouraged use of CPO tickets by full-fare business travelers, the airlines 120, 130 can sell such excess capacity at a discount, without undermining its existing published fare structure. Thus, in a preferred embodiment, the RMS 500 will periodically execute the CPO rule generation process 1900, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 19, to generate CPO rules that encourage the sale of tickets to CPO customers.

DATABASES

FIG. 6 illustrates an exemplary customer database 600 that preferably stores information on each customer of the CPO management system 100, including biographical information and billing information, such as a credit card number. The customer database 600 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 605-615, each associated with a different customer. For each customer name listed in field 640, the customer database 600 includes the customer's address in field 645 and credit card number in field 655. In addition, the customer account database 600 preferably includes an identification (ID) number in field 660. The ID number stored in field 660 may be utilized, for example, to index a historical database (not shown) of previous ticket purchases and CPOs associated with the customer.

FIG. 7 illustrates an exemplary airline database 700 which preferably stores information on each airline which is registered with the CPO management system 100 to sell airline tickets to CPO customers, including address and contact information. The airline database 700 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 705-715, each associated with a different airline. For each airline name listed in field 740, the airline database 700 includes address and contact information in fields 745 and 750, respectively. The contact information may comprise, for example, the name of an individual employee of the airline 120 and a corresponding telephone

number, web page URL, bulletin board address, pager number, telephone number, electronic mail address, voice mail address or facsimile number.

In addition, in an embodiment where the CPO rules of a given airline are stored in an encrypted format, the cryptographic key of the associated airline is preferably stored in field 755 of the airline database 700. Finally, the airline database 700 preferably stores an indication in field 760 of the percentage of CPOs which have been offered to each airline which have actually been accepted by the respective airline. In this manner, the CPO management system 100 can offer a particular CPO to airlines in a sequence that is ranked in accordance with the CPO acceptance rate, as discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 16b. In alternate embodiments, the airline database 700 can incorporate fields to facilitate the processing of CPOs in accordance with sequences based on (i) the amount of inventory made available by each airline for sale to CPO customers, (ii) priorities negotiated by each airline, such as an airline priority over certain routes, or (iii) the highest commission rates paid by the airlines to the CPO management system 100.

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary flight schedule database 800 which preferably stores specific flight information for each O & D Pair, as well as connection information. The flight schedule database 800 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 805-815, each associated with a different flight. For each O & D Pair listed in fields 840 and 845, the flight schedule database 800 includes the date of each flight in field 850, as well as the times of departure and arrival of the respective flight in fields 855 and 860. The airline and flight number associated with each flight are preferably indicated in fields 865 and 870, respectively, and any required connections are indicated in field 875.

FIGS. 9a and 9b illustrate an exemplary CPO database 900 which preferably contains a record of each CPO being processed by the CPO management system 100, including the terms of the CPO and the associated status. The CPO database 900 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 905 and 910, each associated with a different CPO being processed by the system 100. For each CPO identified by CPO number in field 920, the CPO database 900 includes the date the CPO was received in field 925, and an identification (ID) number for the travel agent, if any, associated with the CPO in field 930. It is noted that the travel agent ID number stored in field 930 may be utilized, for example, to index a historical database (not shown) of previous ticket purchases and CPOs associated with the travel agent.

In addition, the CPO database 900 identifies the customer by name in field 935, and by identification number in field 940 and identifies any companion passengers in field 945. The ID number stored in field 945 is preferably utilized to cross-reference the corresponding information stored for the customer in the customer database 600.

The parameters of the customer's itinerary and other pertinent restrictions are stored

in fields 950 through 995 of the CPO database 900. Specifically, the origin and destination cities are identified in fields 950 and 955, respectively, and any connection restrictions specified by the customer 110 are recorded in field 960. The dates of the customer's departure and return are stored in fields 965 and 970, respectively. In an alternate embodiment (not shown), the CPO database 900 could also permit the customer 110 to specify particular time-of-day (range) restrictions for the departure and return flights.

The CPO database 900 preferably stores an indication of the total number of passengers traveling together in field 975, and sets forth the price the customer is willing to pay per ticket in field 980. Any other miscellaneous restrictions specified by the customer will be recorded in field 985, such as preferred airline(s), flights, or seat assignments. Field 990 records the current status of the respective CPO, such as pending, accepted, rejected or expired. Finally, if the CPO ultimately results in a ticket being booked for the customer, the passenger name record number (PNR) associated with the ticket is stored in field 995. Generally, a PNR is a record stored by the CRS 400 containing information for each ticketed passenger, including: record number, passenger name(s), address for ticketing, billing information, such as credit card number, carrier(s) and flight number(s) for all segments, seat assignments, inventory class, aircraft type, airline-issued authorization code for discounted fare, selling price, and additional comments.

As discussed further below, rather than reject a CPO, one or more airlines may issue a binding counteroffer to the CPO, which the customer 110 may accept or reject. If a counteroffer is issued to a customer 110, then a record of the counteroffer with any associated restrictions, is preferably created in the CPO database 900. For example, if an airline 120 issues a counteroffer to the CPO number 23456 stored in record 905 of the CPO database 900, then the status of the initial CPO is changed to "counter", and a further record (not shown) corresponding to the counteroffer may be stored in the CPO database 900 under a modified CPO number indicating the counteroffer, such as CPO number 23456-CO1.

FIG. 10a illustrates an exemplary secured airline rules database 1000 which preferably maintains the CPO rules for one or more airlines associated with a particular secured airline server 300. As previously indicated, the secured airline rules database 1000 may be stored in an encrypted format to maintain the integrity and confidentiality of the highly sensitive information included in the CPO rules. The secured airline rules database 1000 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 1002 and 1004, each associated with a different CPO rule. For each CPO rule identified by rule number in field 1010, the secured airline rules database 1000 includes the associated restrictions defined by the respective airline in fields 1012 through 1044.

According to a feature of the invention, the CPO rules that are processed by the CPO management system 100 may be of varying complexity. The particular restrictions set forth

in the illustrative secured airline rules database 1000 are representative of the principles of the invention only. An airline can incorporate a subset of such restrictions and/or incorporate additional restrictions, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill. For example, the CPO rules of an airline 120 may also incorporate restrictions on the minimum number of nights associated with the itinerary, or require the customer 110 to have a Saturday night stay.

For illustrative purposes, the secured airline rules database 1000 shown in FIG. 10a, allows an airline to create CPO rules by specifying some or all of the following restrictions in fields 1012 through 1044: origin and destination cities, connection restrictions, flight numbers included or excluded, dates and times of departure, departure days of the week, dates and times of return, return days of the week, number of passengers traveling, length of haul, average yield per seat, minimum price per ticket, inventory restrictions or seat availability, and advance purchase requirements.

For example, record 1002, shown in FIG. 10a, is associated with a CPO rule for a given airline which specifies that the airline will accept any CPO for travel from Newark, New Jersey (EWR) to Orlando, Florida (MCO) during the month of October, 1997, provided that (i) the customer travels on any flight departing on a Tuesday through Thursday, (ii) the tickets are booked within 21 days of departure, (iii) the price is at least \$165 per ticket, (iv) K inventory is available on all flight segments of the customer's itinerary and (v) at least two (2) passengers are travelling together.

Similarly, record 1004, shown in FIG. 10a, is associated with a CPO rule for a given airline which specifies that the airline will accept any CPO having a price of at least \$150, for two or more people traveling together between New York, NY (JFK) and Chicago, IL (ORD) during April or May, 1997 where Q or K inventory is available on any flight between 11 a.m. and 2 p.m., where the flight departs on a Tuesday and returns on a Monday through Thursday, and is booked between 7 and 21 days prior to travel and can be routed through the airline's Cleveland, OH or Pittsburgh, PA hubs.

In an alternate or supplemental embodiment, the secured airline rules database 1000 can be implemented using a pair of inventory and pricing databases 1050, 1075, illustrated in FIGS. 10b and 10c, respectively. In this embodiment, the CPO rules stored in the inventory database 1050 contain actual inventory on each flight that the airline has released for sale to CPO customers. The inventory database 1050 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 1052-1056, each associated with a different CPO rule and flight. For each CPO rule identified by rule number in field 1060, the inventory database 1050 includes an indication of the airline, flight number and dates in fields 1062 through 1066, respectively. In addition, the number of seats that may be sold by the CPO management system 100 on each flight is indicated in field 1068. In a

preferred embodiment, as inventory is sold by the CPO management system 100, the available inventory recorded in the inventory database 1050 will be decremented.

The pricing database 1075, shown in FIG. 10c, maintains a plurality of records, such as records 1080-1084, each associated with a different O & D Pair. For each O & D Pair identified in fields 1090 and 1092, respectively, the pricing database 1075 includes an indication of the airline, dates and minimum price in fields 1088, 1093 and 1096, respectively.

Thus, in such an alternate or supplemental embodiment, prior to accessing the inventory database 1050, the CPO management system 100 will preferably query the CRS 400 to identify possible flights which satisfy the customer's itinerary restrictions. Thereafter, the CPO management system 100 will access the inventory database 1050 to determine if the airline has released any inventory on such identified flights to the CPO management system 100 for sale to CPO customers. In one embodiment, the list of identified flights from the CRS 400 can be sequenced to optimize customer preferences, and the inventory database 1050 can be searched in the order of the sequenced list of flights, until available inventory is identified. Finally, if any available inventory satisfying the customer's itinerary is identified, then the CPO management system 100 will access the pricing database 1075 shown in FIG. 10c, to determine if the price specified by the customer exceeds the minimum price defined by the airline, as set forth in field 1096 of the pricing database 1075.

FIG. 11 illustrates an exemplary counteroffer rules database 1100 which preferably stores a set of tolerances which may be utilized by the CPO management system 100 to generate a counteroffer to a CPO if the CPO is within predefined tolerances of one or more restrictions associated with a given CPO rule. The counteroffer rules database 1100 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 1105 and 1110, each associated with a different CPO rule. For each CPO rule identified by rule number in field 1120, the counteroffer rules database 1100 includes acceptable tolerances on the dates and times of departure and return in fields 1125 through 1140. In addition, the counteroffer rules database 1100 includes tolerances on the number of passengers traveling, length of haul and yield in fields 1145 through 1155, respectively. Finally, the counteroffer rules database 1100 records any permissible tolerances on the minimum price and advance purchase requirements in fields 1160 and 1165, respectively.

As shown in FIG. 11, the counteroffer rules database 1100 includes counteroffer rule number 45687 in record 1105, corresponding to CPO rule number 45687 from FIG. 10a. As illustrated in FIG. 11, the CPO management system 100 is authorized to generate a counteroffer on behalf of an airline 120 associated with CPO rule number 45687, if a given CPO fails to meet one or more of the restrictions of CPO rule number 45687, but the restrictions which are not met are within the predefined tolerances set forth in the counteroffer rules database 1100. For example, if

a given CPO includes a customer-defined price of \$140.00, but all other airline-defined restrictions of CPO rule number 45687 are met, a counteroffer should be generated containing a price of \$150.00 since the price variation is within ten percent (10%) of the minimum price associated with CPO rule number 45687, as authorized by counteroffer rule number 45687.

5 FIG. 12 illustrates an exemplary secured airline audit database 1200 which preferably maintains an audit trail for each CPO which is processed by the CPO management system 100. The secured airline audit database 1200 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 1205-1215, each associated with a different CPO that has been processed by the CPO management system 100. For each CPO identified by CPO number in field 1220, the secured
10 airline audit database 1200 includes the response of the respective airline to the CPO in field 1225, and the date and time of the CPO in fields 1230 and 1235, respectively. In addition, if a ticket is booked for the customer 110 on any airline, then the secured airline audit database 1200 preferably stores the passenger name record (PNR) number associated with the ticket in field 1240 and an indication of whether or not the ticket was booked on the respective airline in field 1245. In a
15 preferred embodiment, the entry in field 1245 indicates whether the ticket was booked (a) on the respective airline associated with the database, (b) with another airline or (c) if no ticket was issued at all. In this manner, the CPO management system 100 can establish that a ticket was actually booked for each CPO which was accepted by at least one airline.

20 FIG. 13 illustrates an exemplary pricing and restrictions database 1300 which maintains pricing information and related restrictions for each flight offered by the airlines 120, 130, as established and updated by the RMS 500. The pricing and restrictions database 1300 includes a plurality of records, such as records 1305-1315, each associated with a different flight. For each flight identified by flight number in field 1325, the pricing and restrictions database 1300 includes the date of the flight in field 1330 and the respective price and restrictions associated with
25 each inventory class in fields 1335 through 1350.

30 FIG. 14 illustrates an exemplary seat allocation database 1400 which maintains available inventory information for each fare class on a given flight offered by the airlines 120, 130, as allocated and updated by the RMS 500. In addition, as inventory is sold by an airline, the airline's ARS 150 will preferably decrement the available inventory recorded in the seat allocation
35 database 1400. The seat allocation database 1400 includes a plurality of records, such as records 1405-1420, each associated with a different flight. For each flight identified by flight number in field 1425, the seat allocation database 1400 includes the departure date of the flight in field 1430 and the respective inventory available in each inventory class in fields 1435 through 1440. In addition, the seat allocation database 1400 preferably includes an indication of the total number of seats booked on the flight in field 1445 and total capacity available on the flight in field 1450.

FIG. 15 illustrates an exemplary forecast and demand analysis database 1500, which records each selling price for each fare class for a given flight, and the forecasted demand at each selling price as established by the RMS 500. As previously indicated, when a flight is first added to the flight schedule of an airline 120, a record of the initial price for each fare class and the forecasted demand is preferably created in the forecast and demand analysis database 1500. In addition, new records are preferably created for each new selling price that is established for each fare class by the RMS 500, as part of the dynamic inventory reallocation process.

The forecast and demand analysis database 1500 includes a plurality of records, such as records 1505-1525, each associated with a different selling price for a given fare class on a given flight. For each flight number identified in field 1530, the forecast and demand analysis database 1500 includes the departure date, and origin and destination cities in fields 1535 through 1545, respectively, and the corresponding offered prices and fare classes in fields 1550 and 1555, respectively. Finally, the forecast and demand analysis database 1500 preferably records the actual quantity of tickets sold by the airline at each offered price for each fare class in field 1560 and the corresponding forecasted quantity in field 1565. The actual quantity of tickets sold may be recorded in field 1560 in real-time as tickets are actually sold or by means of batch processing on a periodic basis.

PROCESSES

As discussed above, the CPO management central server 200 preferably executes a CPO management process 1600, shown in FIGS. 16a through 16c, to receive each CPO from a customer 110 and to compare the CPO against the rules of each airline in order to determine whether to accept, reject or counter the CPO on behalf of an airline. As illustrated in FIG. 16a, the CPO management process 1600 begins the processes embodying the principles of the present invention during step 1604, when a customer or travel agent accesses the CPO management system 100.

Thereafter, during step 1608, the CPO management central server 200 will receive the customer information, itinerary, price and other restrictions from the customer 110 which are required to populate the customer database 600, if required for a new customer, and the CPO database 900. A record of the CPO is preferably created in the CPO database 900 with the received information during step 1612, and with the status field set to "pending."

Appropriate legal language is preferably displayed or read to the customer 110 during step 1616, and the CPO management system 100 will wait for an acknowledgment from the customer 110 to form a binding conditional purchase offer (CPO). The price is extracted from field 980 of the CPO database 900 and the appropriate customer information, including credit card number, is extracted from the customer database 600 during step 1620. Thereafter, the merchant

ID associated with the CPO management system 100, together with an appropriate billing descriptor, the total purchase amount (preferably equal to the price specified by the customer 110) and the credit card information, are transmitted to the credit card issuer during step 1624 for pre-authorization.

5 A test is then preferably performed during step 1628 to determine if an authorization code has been received from the credit card issuer. If it is determined during step 1628 that the credit card issuer has not authorized the purchase amount, then another credit card is preferably requested from the customer 110 during step 1632 and program control returns to step 1624 to continue processing in the manner described above.

10 If, however, it is determined during step 1628 that the credit card issuer has authorized the purchase amount, then the CPO is accepted for processing during step 1636 and program control continues to step 1640 (FIG. 16b). The CPO management process 1600 preferably executes the evaluation process 1700, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 17, for each airline during step 1640. The CPO record created during step 1612 is passed to the evaluation process 1700 for comparison against the CPO rules of one airline, such as the airline 120, to generate a response for the airline to the given CPO. As previously indicated, the airline's response to a CPO may be to accept, reject or counter the CPO. As discussed further below, the evaluation process 1700 will return the airline's response to the CPO, as well as a flight number if the CPO is accepted or countered by the airline.

15 In an alternate embodiment, the evaluation process 1700 can be performed for each airline in a predefined sequence until one airline accepts the CPO. For example, the evaluation process 1700 can be performed in sequence based upon (i) the amount of inventory made available by each airline for sale to CPO customers, (ii) the CPO acceptance rate of each airline, as recorded in the airline database 700, (iii) priorities negotiated by each airline, such as an airline priority over certain routes, or (iv) the highest commission rates paid by the airlines to the CPO management system 100. In this manner, the sequence can be determined by factors that incent participation by the airlines, and/or by factors that optimize revenue to the CPO management system 100. It is noted that in the preferred embodiment, the customer 110 will pay the price defined by the customer if the CPO is accepted by an airline, regardless of the minimum price the airline would be willing to accept or whatever sequencing criteria is utilized by the CPO management system 100 to process the CPO.

20 As shown in FIG. 16b, a test is preferably performed during step 1644 to determine if the CPO was accepted by at least one airline. If it is determined during step 1644 that the CPO was accepted by at least one airline then a further test is preferably performed during step 25 1648 to determine if the CPO was accepted by more than one airline. If it is determined during 30 1648 to determine if the CPO was accepted by more than one airline. If it is determined during 35 1648 to determine if the CPO was accepted by more than one airline. If it is determined during

step 1648 that the CPO was not accepted by more than one airline then program control proceeds directly to step 1672 (FIG. 16c) to book the ticket.

If, however, it is determined during step 1648 that the CPO was accepted by more than one airline, then a tie breaker algorithm is preferably executed during step 1652 to determine which airline acceptance to utilize. For example, the tie breaker algorithm can select an airline offering an itinerary which maximizes the convenience to the customer 110, maximizes the profit to the CPO management system 100 or optimizes the inventory available for sale by the CPO management system 100. It is noted that in the alternate embodiment, where the evaluation process 1700 is performed for each airlines in a predefined sequence until one airline accepts the CPO, a tie breaker algorithm will not be required. In a further alternate embodiment, the customer 110 may select for himself which airline acceptance to utilize. Thereafter, program control proceeds to step 1672 (FIG. 16c) to book the ticket.

In order to book the ticket, the information required to create a passenger name record (PNR) is extracted from the customer database 600, the CPO database 900 and the inventory and flight information received from the evaluation process 1700 or CRS 400. As previously indicated, a PNR generally includes the following parameters: record number, passenger name(s), address for ticketing, billing information, such as credit card number, flight number(s) for all segments, carrier(s), seat assignments, inventory class, aircraft type, airline-issued authorization code for discounted fare, selling price, and additional comments.

Thereafter, during step 1674, the PNR is transmitted to the airline reservation system 150 of the airline upon which the ticket will be booked or the CRS 400 to establish a reservation. The CPO management process 1600 will then transmit the merchant ID associated with the CPO management system 100, together with an appropriate billing descriptor, the total purchase amount (preferably equal to the price specified by the customer 110) and the credit card information, to the credit card issuer during step 1678 for payment.

The record of the CPO in the CPO database 900 is updated during step 1682 with the assigned PNR number and the status field is changed to "accepted." Finally, an audit process 1800, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 18, is executed by the CPO management process 1600 during step 1686 for each airline to maintain an audit trail for each CPO which is processed by the CPO management system 100. As previously indicated, the audit process 1800 will create an entry in the secured airline audit database 1200 which can be utilized to establish that a ticket was actually booked by the CPO management system 100 for each CPO which was accepted by at least one airline.

If, however, it was determined during step 1644 (FIG. 16b) that the CPO was not accepted by at least one airline, then a further test is performed during step 1656 to determine if at

least one airline provided a counteroffer to the CPO. If it is determined during step 1656 that at least one airline did provide a counteroffer to the CPO, then the status of the initial CPO is changed to "counter", and a record of the counteroffer is preferably created in the CPO database 900 during step 1660, for example using the original CPO number with a "-CO" extension. Thereafter, the counteroffer(s) are transmitted to the customer 110 during step 1664. In an alternate embodiment, if the CPO is within predefined tolerances, rather than receiving one or more counteroffers, the customer 110 can be instructed to resubmit the CPO at a later time, or the CPO management system 100 can periodically reexecute the CPO until the CPO is accepted or until the CPO expires. It is noted that in view of the dynamic nature of the CPO rules, a CPO which is initially rejected may be subsequently accepted by one or more airlines.

A test is then preferably performed during step 1668 to determine if the customer 110 accepted one of the counteroffer(s). If it is determined during step 1668 that the customer 110 did accept a counteroffer, then program control proceeds to step 1672 (FIG. 16c) to book the ticket, in the manner described above. If, however, it is determined during step 1668 that the customer 110 did not accept a counteroffer, then program control proceeds to step 1696 (FIG. 16c), where the CPO management process 1600 will transmit the customer's rejection of the counteroffer to the airline(s) making the counteroffer. Thereafter, during step 1698, the CPO management process 1600 will update the status of the counteroffer associated with the CPO in the CPO database 900 to "rejected." Program control proceeds to step 1686 in the manner described above and then terminates during step 1699.

If, however, it was determined during step 1656 (FIG. 16b) that no airlines provided a counteroffer to the CPO, then program control proceeds to step 1690 (FIG. 16c), where the CPO management process 1600 will transmit the rejection of the CPO to the customer 110. Thereafter, the status of the CPO in the CPO database 900 is updated to "rejected" during step 1694. Program control proceeds to step 1686 in the manner described above and then terminates during step 1699.

As discussed above, the CPO management process 1600 executes an evaluation process 1700, during step 1640. An exemplary evaluation process 1700 is shown in FIGS. 17a and 17b. In one embodiment, the evaluation process 1700 is preferably customized for each airline, so that each evaluation process 1700 receives the CPO record from the CPO management process 1600 in a standard format for comparison against the rules of the associated airline, such as the airline 120, and returns a standard response of the airline to the CPO, such as accept, reject or counter. In addition, if the response of the airline is to accept or counter the CPO, the evaluation process 1700 preferably also returns the selected flight number.

As shown in FIG. 17a, the evaluation process 1700 initially extracts the O & D Pair

from the CPO record during step 1705 and thereafter identifies all CPO rules in the secured airline rules database 1000 which are pertinent to the extracted O & D Pair during step 1710. The customer defined restrictions from fields 960 through 995 of the CPO record are then compared to the corresponding airline defined restrictions from fields 1016 through 1044 of the secured airline rules database 1000 during step 1715, for each CPO rule identified during the previous step.

Thereafter, a test is performed during step 1720 to determine if the CPO satisfies at least one airline rule. For example, CPO number 23452, stored in record 910 of the CPO database 900 (FIGS. 9a and 9b), defines an O & D Pair of New York (JFK) to Chicago (ORD). Thus, the evaluation process 1700 will access the secured airline rules database 1000 and identify all CPO rules for this O & D Pair. In the illustrative secured airline rules database 1000 shown in FIG. 10a, CPO rule number 23452 is identified as the only rule pertinent to this O & D Pair. Thereafter, each of the customer defined restrictions from fields 960 through 995 of the CPO number 23452 are compared to the corresponding airline defined restrictions from fields 1016 through 1044 of CPO rule number 23452. Since the customer is willing to make one stop (field 960), the airline requirement of routing through Cleveland or Pittsburgh (field 1016) can be satisfied. In addition, the customer's dates of departure and return requirements (fields 965 and 970) satisfy the airline's dates, times and day of week requirements for both the departure and return legs of the trip (fields 1020 through 1032). In addition, the number of passengers traveling satisfies the airline requirement set forth in field 1034 and the customer's price (field 980) exceeds the airline's defined minimum price (field 1040). Thus, CPO number 23452 will be accepted by the airline associated with CPO rule number 45687, provided that Q or K inventory is available (field 1042) and the CPO is being processed between 7 and 21 days prior to flight (field 1044).

In one embodiment, the CPO management system 100 allows the airlines 120, 130 to specify CPO rules in a format that accepts a given CPO, conditioned upon the CPO management system 100 finding inventory available that meets the requirements of the airline, as set forth in the CPO rule, and the requirements of the customer 110, as set forth in the CPO itself. For example, CPO rule number 23452, shown in FIG. 10a, is conditioned upon Q or K inventory being available.

Thus, if it is determined during step 1720 that the CPO satisfies at least one airline rule, then a further test is preferably performed during step 1725 to determine if any of the satisfied rules are conditioned on inventory being available.

If it is determined during step 1725 that none of the satisfied rules are conditioned on inventory being available, then program control proceeds directly to step 1735, discussed below. If, however, it is determined during step 1725 that one or more satisfied rules are conditioned on inventory being available, then the CRS or ARS is accessed during step 1730 to

identify flights, if any, with seats available and meeting the appropriate restrictions of both the satisfied CPO rule and the CPO.

Thereafter, a test is performed during step 1735 to determine if more than one flight satisfying the CPO has been identified. If it is determined during step 1735 that only one satisfactory flight has been identified, then program control proceeds directly to step 1745 (FIG. 17b), discussed below.

If, however, it is determined during step 1735 that more than one satisfactory flight has been identified, then one flight is selected during step 1740 (FIG. 17b) which most closely matches the customer preferences set forth in the CPO or maximizes the convenience for the customer. Alternatively, each airline 120 can define its own criteria for the CPO management system 100 to utilize to select a single flight. Thereafter, the response will be set to "accept" during step 1745, and program control will return to the CPO management process 1600 during step 1770 with the defined response and selected flight number.

If, however, it was determined during step 1720 (FIG. 17a) that the CPO does not satisfy at least one airline rule, then program control proceeds to step 1750 (FIG. 17b), where a further test is performed to determine if the CPO is within tolerances specified by the airline for generating a counteroffer. As previously indicated, the counteroffer rules database 1100 is preferably stored by each secured airline server 300 to maintain a set of tolerances which may be utilized by the CPO management system 100 to generate a counteroffer to a CPO on behalf of an airline, if the CPO is within predefined tolerances of one or more restrictions associated with a given CPO rule.

Thus, if it is determined during step 1750 that the CPO is within tolerances specified by the airline for generating a counteroffer, then a counteroffer is generated during step 1760 with the appropriate modified terms, as retrieved from the counteroffer rules database 1100. Thereafter, the response will be set to "counter" during step 1765, and program control will return to the CPO management process 1600 during step 1770 with the defined response and selected flight number.

If, however, it is determined during step 1750 that the CPO is not within tolerances specified by the airline for generating a counteroffer, then the response will be set to "rejected" during step 1755, and program control will return to the CPO management process 1600 during step 1770 with the defined response and the selected flight number equal to null.

As previously indicated, the CPO management process 1600 preferably executes an audit process 1800 during step 1686 for each airline to maintain an audit trail for each CPO that is processed by the CPO management system 100. An exemplary audit process 1800 is shown in FIG. 18. The audit process 1800 will preferably create an entry in the secured airline audit

database 1200 which can be utilized by the CPO management system 100 to establish that a ticket was actually booked by the CPO management system 100 for each CPO which was accepted by at least one airline. In this manner, the airlines 120 can be assured that the risk of a customer 110, another airline 130 or a third party utilizing the CPO management system 100 to obtain the underlying price flexibility of the airline 120 is minimized.

As shown in FIG. 18, the audit process 1800 will initially decrement the inventory in the secured airline rules database, if necessary, during step 1810. For example, inventory should be decremented only if the ticket was ultimately booked by the associated airline, and the CPO rule which was utilized to accept the CPO actually included inventory released by the airline for sale to CPO customers, as opposed to a CPO rule which was conditioned upon inventory being available.

Thereafter, the audit process 1800 preferably creates a record of the CPO in the secured airline audit database 1200, including the CPO number, the PNR associated with the ticket issued by the CPO management system 100, if any, to the customer 110, and an indication of whether the ticket, if any, was booked on the corresponding airline. Program control will then return to the CPO management process 1600 during step 1820.

An illustrative CPO rules generation process 1900, shown in FIG. 19, is preferably executed by the RMS 500 initially when a flight is first added to the flight schedule, and then periodically to reallocate and price available inventory in response to demand and external events. Thus, a test is initially performed during step 1905 to determine if the current inventory allocation by the RMS 500 is the initial allocation for the flight being allocated. If it is determined during step 1905 that the current inventory allocation is the initial allocation for the flight being allocated, then a further test is performed during step 1910 to determine if the flight is predicted, using conventional methods, to likely depart with empty seats.

If it is determined during step 1910 that the flight is not likely to depart with empty seats, then program control will terminate during step 1985. If, however, it is determined during step 1910 that the flight is likely to depart with empty seats, then the CPO rule generation process 1900 will preferably allocate the empty seats to a special fare class for CPO customers during step 1915. Thereafter, an appropriate minimum fare and other restrictions for such tickets will be established during step 1920.

The pricing and restrictions database 1300, seat allocation database 1400, and forecast and demand analysis database 1500 for the flight will be updated during step 1925 with the newly established fare class, the allocated inventory and the initial price. Thereafter, the CPO rules generation process 1900 will preferably generate a CPO rule containing the allocated inventory, established minimum price and other restrictions during step 1930 and then transmit the

generated CPO rule to the associated secured airline server 300 during step 1935. Program control will then terminate during step 1985.

If, however, it was determined during step 1905 that the current inventory allocation is not the initial allocation for the flight being allocated, then program control proceeds to step 1950 to reallocate a previous allocation for one or more fare classes of a given flight in order to minimize the unanticipated excess inventory delta 580. Thus, a test is performed during step 1950 to determine if the forecasted demand exceeds the actual demand by more than a predefined tolerance for any fare class. In one embodiment, the RMS can make this determination utilizing the summary information recorded in fields 1560 and 1565 of the forecast and demand analysis database 1500. In addition, the RMS 500 can generate the predefined tolerance utilized in step 1950 by analyzing historical demand information stored in the forecast and demand analysis database 1500 for prior periods.

If it is determined during step 1950 that the forecasted demand does not exceed the actual demand by more than a predefined tolerance for any fare class, then there is no need to reallocate the existing allocation and program control will terminate during step 1985. It is noted that if actual demand exceeds forecasted demand, the RMS 500 can remove inventory that was previously allocated for sale to CPO customers.

If, however, it is determined during step 1950 that the forecasted demand does exceed the actual demand by more than a predefined tolerance for any fare class, then the RMS 500 will preferably allocate the excess capacity, or a portion thereof, for sale to CPO customers during step 1955. Thereafter, an appropriate minimum fare and other restrictions for such tickets will be established during step 1960.

The pricing and restrictions database 1300, seat allocation database 1400, and forecast and demand analysis database 1500 for the flight will be updated during step 1965 with the reallocated inventory and the established price. Thereafter, the CPO rules generation process 1900 will generate a CPO rule containing the allocated inventory, established minimum price and other restrictions during step 1970 and then transmit the generated CPO rule to the associated secured airline server 300 during step 1980. Program control will then terminate during step 1985.

It is to be understood that the embodiments and variations shown and described herein are merely illustrative of the principles of this invention and that various modifications may be implemented by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

For example, as previously indicated, although the present invention has been illustrated in an airline environment, the CPO management system 100 could be utilized to sell any item, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill.

We claim:

1. A method of processing airline ticket sales, comprising the steps of:
obtaining a purchase offer for travel from a customer, said purchase offer
5 containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;
identifying one or more rules from a plurality of sellers of airline tickets, each of
said rules containing one or more airline-defined restrictions; and
comparing said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers
of airline tickets is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy
10 said airline-defined restrictions.
2. The method according to claim 1, wherein said purchase offer is binding.
3. The method according to claim 1, wherein said airline-defined restrictions include a
15 price and said price is not disclosed.
4. The method according to claim 3, further comprising the step of preventing said
customer from identifying said price.
- 20 5. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of providing an
acceptance of said purchase offer to said customer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said
airline-defined restrictions.
6. The method according to claim 1, wherein said customer-defined conditions include
25 a specified itinerary.
7. The method according to claim 6, wherein said specified itinerary includes an
indication of acceptable origin and destination cities for said travel and acceptable dates and times
of departure and return.

8. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of binding said customer to purchase said airline ticket if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

5

9. The method according to claim 1, wherein at least a portion of said rules are stored by one or more remote servers.

10. The method according to claim 9, wherein said step of comparing said portion of said rules is performed by said one or more remote servers.

11. The method according to claim 1, wherein one or more of said airlines has an associated revenue management system and wherein said rules for said airline are generated by said associated revenue management system.

12. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of generating a counteroffer if one or more of said sellers of airline tickets does not accept said purchase offer and said purchase offer is within a predefined tolerance of at least one of said rules.

13. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of selecting said rules to discourage use by customers typically willing to pay full fare.

14. The method according to claim 1, wherein said rules define a combination of said airline-defined restrictions for which an airline is willing to accept a predefined fare.

25

15. A method of processing airline ticket sales, comprising the steps of:

obtaining a binding purchase offer for travel from a customer, said binding purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition;

identifying one or more rules from a plurality of sellers of airline tickets, each of said rules containing one or more airline-defined restrictions; and

5 comparing said binding purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers of airline tickets is willing to accept said binding purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

10 16. The method according to claim 15, wherein said airline-defined restrictions include a price and said price is not disclosed.

17. The method according to claim 16, further comprising the step of preventing said customer from identifying said price.

15 18. The method according to claim 15, further comprising the step of providing an acceptance of said purchase offer to said customer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

20 19. The method according to claim 15, wherein one or more of said airlines has an associated revenue management system and wherein said rules for said airline are generated by said associated revenue management system.

25 20. The method according to claim 15, further comprising the step of generating a counteroffer if one or more of said sellers of airline tickets does not accept said purchase offer and said purchase offer is within a predefined tolerance of at least one of said rules.

21. The method according to claim 15, further comprising the step of selecting said rules to discourage use by customers typically willing to pay full fare.

22. The method according to claim 15, wherein said purchase offer includes a
5 customer-defined price.

23. The method according to claim 15, wherein said rules define a combination of said airline-defined restrictions for which an airline is willing to accept a customer-defined price.

10 24. A method of processing airline ticket sales, comprising the steps of:
obtaining a purchase offer for travel from a customer, said purchase offer
containing at least one customer-defined condition;
identifying one or more rules from a plurality of sellers of airline tickets, each of
said rules containing one or more airline-defined restrictions including a price;
15 comparing said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers
of airline tickets is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy
said airline-defined restrictions; and
preventing said customer from identifying said price.

20 25. The method according to claim 24, wherein said preventing step comprises the step
of limiting the number of said purchase offers which may be obtained from a given customer in a
predefined period.

25 26. The method according to claim 24, wherein said preventing step comprises the step
of assessing a penalty to said customer if a ticket is not booked when an airline accepts said
purchase offer.

27. The method according to claim 24, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of evaluating a rating of said customer containing information regarding the likelihood that said customer will book a ticket corresponding to said purchase offer.

5

28. The method according to claim 27, wherein said rating comprises a ratio of bookings to purchase offers by said customer.

29. The method according to claim 24, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of binding said customer to purchase said airline ticket if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

10

30. A system for processing airline ticket sales, comprising:
means for obtaining a purchase offer for travel from a customer, said purchase offer
containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;
means for identifying one or more rules from a plurality of sellers of airline tickets,
each of said rules containing one or more airline-defined restrictions; and
processing means for comparing said purchase offer to said rules to determine
whether any of said sellers of airline tickets is willing to accept said purchase offer if said
customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

15

20

31. The system according to claim 30, wherein said purchase offer is binding.

32. The system according to claim 30, wherein said airline-defined restrictions include a price and said price is not disclosed.

25

33. The system according to claim 30, further comprising the step of providing an acceptance of said purchase offer to said customer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

5 34. The system according to claim 30, further comprising means for binding said customer to purchase said airline ticket if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

10 35. The system according to claim 30, further comprising one or more remote servers for storing at least a portion of said rules.

36. The system according to claim 30, further comprising a revenue management system associated with one or more of said airlines and wherein said rules for said airline are generated by said associated revenue management system.

15 37. The system according to claim 30, further comprising means for generating a counteroffer if one or more of said sellers of airline tickets does not accept said purchase offer and said purchase offer is within a predefined tolerance of at least one of said rules.

20 38. The system according to claim 32, further comprising means for preventing said customer from identifying said price.

39. The system according to claim 38, wherein said means for preventing comprises means for limiting the number of said purchase offers which may be obtained from a given
25 customer in a predefined period.

40. The system according to claim 38, wherein said means for preventing comprises means for assessing a penalty to said customer if a ticket is not booked when an airline accepts said purchase offer.

5 41. The system according to claim 38, wherein said means for preventing comprises means for evaluating a rating of said customer containing information regarding the likelihood that said customer will book a ticket corresponding to said purchase offer.

42. The system according to claim 38, wherein said rating comprises a ratio of
10 bookings to purchase offers by said customer.

43. The system according to claim 38, wherein said means for preventing comprises means for binding said customer to purchase said airline ticket if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

15 44. A method of processing the sale of airline tickets, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a plurality of seats for sale to customers who submit a purchase offer for travel, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;

20 establishing airline-defined restrictions that are applicable to said provided seats including an appropriate fare; and

providing said airline-defined restrictions to a processor to determine whether to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

25 45. The method according to claim 44, further comprising the step of obtaining a reservation for one or more of said provided seats if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said

airline-defined restrictions.

46. The method according to claim 44, wherein said step of providing a plurality of seats further comprises the step of allocating a fare class containing said plurality of seats for sale to said customers who submit said purchase offer.

47. The method according to claim 44, wherein said purchase offer is binding.

48. The method according to claim 44, wherein said establishing step is performed by an associated revenue management system.

49. The method according to claim 44, further comprising the step of establishing rules for generating a counteroffer if said purchase offer is within a predefined tolerance of at least one of said airline-defined restrictions.

50. The method according to claim 44, further comprising the step of selecting said airline-defined restrictions to discourage use by customers typically willing to pay full fare.

51. A system for processing the sale of airline tickets, said system comprising:

means for providing a plurality of seats for sale to customers who submit a purchase offer for travel, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;

a revenue management system for establishing airline-defined restrictions that are applicable to said provided seats including an appropriate fare; and

means for providing said airline-defined restrictions to a processor to determine whether to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

52. The system according to claim 51, further comprising means for obtaining a reservation for one or more of said provided seats if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

5

53. The system according to claim 51, wherein said revenue management system allocates a fare class containing said plurality of seats for sale to said customers who submit said purchase offer.

10
2019.07.09 16:53:30

54. The system according to claim 51, wherein said purchase offer is binding.

55. The system according to claim 51, wherein said revenue management system further comprises means for establishing rules for generating a counteroffer if said purchase offer is within a predefined tolerance of at least one of said airline-defined restrictions.

15

56. The system according to claim 51, wherein said revenue management system selects said airline-defined restrictions to discourage use by customers typically willing to pay full fare.

20 57. A method of purchasing airline tickets, comprising the steps of:
providing a purchase offer for travel, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price; and
obtaining a reservation for said travel on an airline at said price if said customer-defined conditions satisfy airline-defined restrictions including an appropriate fare.

25

58. The method according to claim 57, wherein said purchase offer is binding.

59. The method according to claim 57, wherein said appropriate fare is not disclosed.

60. The method according to claim 57, further comprising the step of obtaining an
5 acceptance of said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

61. The method according to claim 57, wherein said customer-defined conditions include a specified itinerary.

62. The method according to claim 61, wherein said specified itinerary includes an indication of acceptable origin and destination cities for said travel and acceptable dates and times of departure and return.

63. A method of managing airline inventory, comprising the steps of:
providing a plurality of seats for sale to customers who submit a purchase offer for
travel, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;
establishing airline-defined restrictions that are applicable to said provided seats
including an appropriate fare; and
20 providing said airline-defined restrictions to a processor to determine whether to
accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined
restrictions.

64. The method according to claim 63, wherein said steps of providing said seats and
25 establishing said restrictions are repeated to reevaluate the allocation and pricing of available
inventory in response to actual demand.

65. The method according to claim 63, wherein said processor can sell said provided seats to a customer who has submitted a purchase offer, if said purchase offer contains customer-defined conditions which satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

5

66. The method according to claim 63, wherein said step of providing a plurality of seats allocates those seats on a given flight that are unlikely to be sold by said airline.

67. The method according to claim 63, wherein said step of providing a plurality of seats includes the step of analyzing the difference between forecasted and actual demand for a given flight and allocating excess capacity for sale to said customers who submit a purchase offer.

10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100

68. A method of processing the sale of goods or services, comprising the steps of:
obtaining a purchase offer from a customer for the purchase of said goods or services, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;
identifying one or more rules from a plurality of sellers, each of said rules containing one or more seller-defined restrictions including a price; and
comparing said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions.

20

69. The method according to claim 68, further comprising the step of providing an acceptance of said purchase offer to said customer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions.

25

70. The method according to claim 68, further comprising the step of binding said customer to purchase said goods or services if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-

defined restrictions.

71. The method according to claim 68, wherein said seller-defined restrictions include a price and said price is not disclosed.

5

72. The method according to claim 71, further comprising the step of preventing said customer from identifying said price.

73. The method according to claim 72, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of limiting the number of said purchase offers which may be obtained from a given customer in a predefined period.

74. The method according to claim 72, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of assessing a penalty to said customer if said customer does not purchase said goods or services if a seller accepts said purchase offer.

75. The method according to claim 72, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of evaluating a rating of said customer containing information regarding the likelihood that said customer will purchase said goods or services corresponding to said purchase offer.

76. The method according to claim 75, wherein said rating comprises a ratio of purchases to purchase offers by said customer.

77. The method according to claim 72, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of binding said customer to purchase said goods or services if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions.

78. The method according to claim 68, wherein one or more of said sellers has an associated inventory management system and wherein said rules for said seller are generated by said associated inventory management system.

5

79. The method according to claim 68, further comprising the step of generating a counteroffer if one or more of said sellers does not accept said purchase offer and said purchase offer is within a predefined tolerance of at least one of said rules.

10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
160
165
170
175
180
185
190
195
200
205
210
215
220
225
230
235
240
245
250
255
260
265
270
275
280
285
290
295
300
305
310
315
320
325
330
335
340
345
350
355
360
365
370
375
380
385
390
395
400
405
410
415
420
425
430
435
440
445
450
455
460
465
470
475
480
485
490
495
500

80. The method according to claim 68, further comprising the step of selecting said rules to discourage use by customers typically willing to pay the retail price for said goods or services.

81. The method according to claim 68, wherein said rules define a combination of said seller-defined restrictions for which a seller is willing to accept a predefined price.

82. A method of processing the sale of a goods or services by a seller, comprising the steps of:

providing a plurality of goods or services for sale to customers who submit a purchase offer, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition;

establishing seller-defined restrictions that are applicable to said goods or services including an appropriate price; and

providing said seller-defined restrictions to a processor to determine whether to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions.

83. The method according to claim 82, further comprising the step of selling said goods or services to a customer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions.

84. The method according to claim 82, wherein said purchase offer is binding.

5

85. The method according to claim 82, wherein said establishing step is performed by an associated inventory management system.

86. The method according to claim 82, further comprising the step of establishing rules for generating a counteroffer if said purchase offer is within a predefined tolerance of at least one of said seller-defined restrictions.

10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
160
165
170
175
180
185
190
195
200
205
210
215
220
225
230
235
240
245
250
255
260
265
270
275
280
285
290
295
300
305
310
315
320
325
330
335
340
345
350
355
360
365
370
375
380
385
390
395
400
405
410
415
420
425
430
435
440
445
450
455
460
465
470
475
480
485
490
495
500

87. The method according to claim 82, further comprising the step of selecting said seller-defined restrictions to discourage use by customers typically willing to pay the full retail price.

88. A method of processing the sale of goods or services, comprising the steps of:
obtaining a purchase offer from a customer for the purchase of said goods or services, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition;

identifying one or more rules from a plurality of sellers, each of said rules containing one or more seller-defined restrictions including a price;

comparing said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions; and

preventing said customer from identifying said price.

89. The method according to claim 88, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of limiting the number of said purchase offers which may be obtained from a given customer in a predefined period.

5 90. The method according to claim 88, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of assessing a penalty to said customer if said goods or services are not purchased when a seller accepts said purchase offer.

10 91. The method according to claim 88, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of evaluating a rating of said customer containing information regarding the likelihood that said customer will purchase said goods or services corresponding to said purchase offer.

92. The method according to claim 91, wherein said rating comprises a ratio of purchases to purchase offers by said customer.

15 93. The method according to claim 88, wherein said preventing step comprises the step of binding said customer to purchase said goods or services if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions.

20 94. An article of manufacture comprising:
a computer readable medium having computer readable code means embodied thereon, said computer readable program code means comprising:
a step to obtain a purchase offer for travel from a customer, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;
25 a step to identify one or more rules from a plurality of sellers of airline tickets, each of said rules containing one or more airline-defined restrictions; and

a step to compare said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers of airline tickets is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions.

- 5 95. An article of manufacture comprising:
- a computer readable medium having computer readable code means embodied thereon, said computer readable program code means comprising:
- a step to obtain a purchase offer for travel from a customer, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition;
- a step to identify one or more rules from a plurality of sellers of airline tickets, each of said rules containing one or more airline-defined restrictions including a price;
- a step to compare said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers of airline tickets is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said airline-defined restrictions; and
- 10 a step to prevent said customer from identifying said price.
- 15

96. An article of manufacture comprising:
- a computer readable medium having computer readable code means embodied thereon, said computer readable program code means comprising:
- 20 a step to obtain a purchase offer from a customer for the purchase of said goods or services, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition including a price;
- a step to identify one or more rules from a plurality of sellers, each of said rules containing one or more seller-defined restrictions including a price; and
- a step to comparing said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of
- 25 said sellers is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions.

97. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium having computer readable code means embodied thereon, said computer readable program code means comprising:

5 a step to obtain a purchase offer from a customer for the purchase of said goods or services, said purchase offer containing at least one customer-defined condition;

a step to identify one or more rules from a plurality of sellers, each of said rules containing one or more seller-defined restrictions including a price;

10 a step to compare said purchase offer to said rules to determine whether any of said sellers is willing to accept said purchase offer if said customer-defined conditions satisfy said seller-defined restrictions; and

a step to prevent said customer from identifying said price.

088319 07099
468040 "6TE8880

ABSTRACT

A conditional purchase offer (CPO) management system is disclosed for receiving CPOs from one or more customers, such as airline passengers, and for evaluating the received CPOs against a number of CPO rules defined by a plurality of sellers, such as airlines, to determine whether any seller is willing to accept a given CPO. A CPO is a binding offer containing one or more conditions submitted by a customer for purchase of an item, such as airline travel, at a customer-defined price. A CPO rule is a set of restrictions defined by a given seller, such as an airline, to define a combination of restrictions for which the seller is willing to accept a predefined price. The CPO rules may be securely stored by one or more servers. The CPO management system permits a seller to correct for forecasting errors, if necessary, or other competitive forces which have produced excess capacity, by providing inventory for sale to CPO customers.

1700-2.app




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

5/27

REVENUE MANAGEMENT
SYSTEM
500




FIG. 5

6/27




FIG. 5b

7/27




FIG. 5c

8/27

CUSTOMER DATABASE 600

NAME 640	ADDRESS 645	CREDIT CARD NUMBER 655	ID NUMBER 660
GIACOMO SORENTINO	23 MAIN ST, BRIDGEPORT, CT	2222-3333-4444-5555	234546
BOBBY VALENTINO	43 PARK ST., HARTFORD, CT	9999-8888-7777-6666	65432
JOE SMITH	102 PINE ST. WATERBURY, CT	6767-9898-0101-2323	98765

605

610

615

FIG. 6

9/27

AIRLINE DATABASE 700

AIRLINE NAME 740	ADDRESS 745	CONTACT 750	PUBLIC KEY 755	CPO ACCEPTANCE RATE 760
705 AMERICAN	25 MAIN STREET, CHICAGO, IL	SUE JOHNSON	12347AD73E	37%
710 DELTA	102 45TH ST. NEW YORK, NY	BILL JOHNSON	35627A7C9	46%
715 UNITED	9492 6TH AVE. NEW YORK, NY	JOHN WILLIAMSON	1829CB612	4%

FIG. 7

10/27

FLIGHT SCHEDULE
DATABASE 800

ORIGINATING AIRPORT	840	DESTINATION AIRPORT	845	DATE	850	TIME OF DEPARTURE	855	TIME OF ARRIVAL	860	AIRLINE	865	FLIGHT NUMBER	870	VIA	875
805	NASHVILLE, TN (BNA)	LOS ANGELES, CA (LAX)		12/12/96		12:00PM		6:00PM		AMERICAN		1870		NON-STOP	
810	NEW YORK CITY (JFK)	LOS ANGELES, CA (LAX)		01/01/97		7:00AM		3:04PM		AMERICAN		226		CHICAGO	
815	NEW YORK CITY (JFK)	SAN FRANCISCO, CA (SFO)		03/03/97		3:00PM		7:10PM		UNITED		300		NON-STOP	

FIG. 8

11/27

CPO DATABASE 900

CPO NUMBER 920	DATE 925	TRAVEL AGENT NUMBER 930	PRIMARY PASSENGER NAME 935	CUSTOMER ID 940	COMPANION PASSENGERS 945	ORIGINATING CITY 950	DESTINATION CITY 955	CONNECTION RESTRICTIONS 960
23456	4/20/97	4321	JOHN DOE	12345	MRS. JOHN DOE, JOHN DOE JR.	NEW YORK, NY (JFK)	LOS ANGELES, CA (LAX)	LEAVE SATURDAY
23457	4/21/97	2648	JAMES SMITH	45231	ANN SMITH	NEW YORK, NY (JFK)	CHICAGO, IL (MDW/ORD)	ONE STOP
23457	4/21/97	2648	JAMES SMITH	45231	ANN SMITH	NEW YORK, NY (JFK)	CHICAGO, IL (MDW/ORD)	ONE STOP

FIG. 9a

12/27

CPO DATABASE

DATES OF DEPARTURE	DATES OF RETURN	NUMBER OF PASSENGERS TRAVELING	PRICE PER TICKET	OTHER RESTRICTIONS	STATUS	PASSENGER NAME RECORD NUMBER
<u>965</u>	<u>970</u>	<u>975</u>	<u>980</u>	<u>985</u>	<u>990</u>	<u>995</u>
4/12/97- 4/14/97	4/29/97- 4/30/97	3	\$547.01		ACCEPTED	33333
4/22/97	4/30/97	2	\$188.00	N/A	PENDING	
4/22/97	4/30/97	2	\$200.00	N/A	PENDING	

FIG. 9b

13/27

SECURED AIRLINE RULES DATABASE 1000

RULE NUMBER 1010	ORIGINATING CITY 1012	DESTINATION CITY 1014	CONNECTION RESTRICTIONS 1016	FLIGHT NUMBERS 1018	DATE(S) OF DEPARTURE 1020	TIME(S) OF DEPARTURE 1022	DEPARTURE DAY OF WEEK 1024
45685	NEWARK, NJ (EWR)	ORLANDO, FL (MCO)	N/A	N/A	10/1/97- 10/31/97	N/A	TUES- THURS
45687	NEW YORK, NY (JFK)	CHICAGO, IL (ORD)	THRU CLEVELAND OR PITTSBURG	N/A	4/1/97- 5/31/97	11AM-2PM	TUES.

1002

1004

DATE(S) OF RETURN 1026	TIMES OF RETURN 1030	RETURN DAY OF WEEK 1032	NUMBER OF PASSENGERS TRAVELING 1034	LENGTH OF HAUL 1036	YIELD (\$1/MILE) 1038	MINIMUM PRICE 1040	INVENTORY RESTRICTIONS OR AVAILABILITY 1042	ADVANCE PURCHASE REQUIREMENTS 1044
10/1/97- 10/31/97	N/A	TUES.- THURS.	AT LEAST 2	N/A	N/A	\$165.00	K INVENTORY ONLY	WITHIN 21 DAYS OF FLIGHT
4/1/97- 5/31/97	11AM-2PM	MON.- THURS.	2	N/A	N/A	\$150.00	Q OR K INVENTORY ONLY	7-21 DAYS PRIOR TO FLIGHT

FIG. 10a

14/27

SECURED AIRLINES RULES
DATABASE- INVENTORY

1050

RULE NUMBER 1060	AIRLINE 1062	FLIGHT NO. 1064	DATE(S) 1066	NUMBER OF SEATS AVAILABLE 1068
234566	AMERICAN	249X	4/29/97	14
234567	AMERICAN	1209	5/1/97	25
2345678	AMERICAN	808	5/1/97	7

1052

1054

1056

FIG. 10b

15/27

SECURED AIRLINES RULES
DATABASE- PRICING

1075

AIRLINE 1088	ORIGINATING CITY 1090	DESTINATION CITY 1092	DATE(S) 1093	MINIMUM PRICE 1096
AMERICAN	CLEVELAND, OH (CLE)	NEW YORK, NY (JFK)	5/2/97-5/13/97	\$75
AMERICAN	CLEVELAND, OH (CLE)	LOS ANGELES, CA (LAX)	5/1/97-5/13/97	\$260
AMERICAN	NEW YORK, NY (JFK)	CHICAGO, IL (ORD)	5/1/97-5/31/97	\$182

1080

1080

1080

FIG. 10c

16/27
COUNTER OFFER RULES
DATABASE 1100

RULE NUMBER	DATE(S) OF DEPARTURE	TIME(S) OF DEPARTURE	DATE(S) OF RETURN	TIME(S) OF RETURN
45687	WITHIN 1 DAY	WITHIN 2 HOURS	WITHIN 1 DAY	WITHIN 2 HOURS

NUMBER OF PASSENGERS TRAVELLING	LENGTH OF HAUL	YIELD	MINIMUM PRICE	ADVANCE PURCHASE REQUIREMENTS
AT LEAST 2	N/A	N/A	WITHIN 10%	WITHIN 2 DAYS

FIG. 11

17/27

SECURED AIRLINE AUDIT
DATABASE 1200

CPO NUMBER 1220	ANSWER RESPONSE 1225	DATE 1230	TIME 1235	PASSENGER NAME RECORD NUMBER 1235	TICKET BOOKED 1235
2345	YES	4/5/97	3:40PM	56789	ANOTHER AIRLINE
2346	NO	4/6/97	2:00PM	98765	NO TICKET BOOKED
2347	YES	4/8/97	12:00PM	34567	THIS AIRLINE

1205

1210

1215

FIG. 12

18/27

PRICING AND RESTRICTIONS
DATABASE 1300

FLIGHT	DATE	INVENTORY K PRICE	INVENTORY K RESTRICTION	INVENTORY Y PRICE	INVENTORY Y RESTRICTION
AL654	4/16/97	\$50.00	7 DAY RETURN	\$40.00	RETURN FRIDAY
DB120	5/12/97	\$75.00	RETURN FRIDAY	\$60.00	ONE STOP
124C	6/3/97	\$25.00	ONE STOP	\$20.00	7 DAY RETURN

1305

1310

1315

FIG. 13

19/27

SEAT ALLOCATION
DATABASE 1400



FLIGHT NUMBER	DEPARTURE DATE	INVENTORY K SEATS AVAILABLE	INVENTORY Y SEATS AVAILABLE	TOTAL SEATS BOOKED 1045	TOTAL CAPACITY
12345	5/15/97	42	114	144	300
23456	5/15/97	36	200	64	300
34567	5/15/97	58	97	145	300
45678	5/15/97	27	212	61	300

1405

1410

1415

1420

FIG. 14

20/27
FORECAST AND DEMAND
ANALYSIS DATABASE 1500

FLIGHT NUMBER 1530	DEPARTURE DATE 1535	ORIGINATING CITY 1540	DESTINATION CITY 1545	OFFERED PRICE 1550	FARE CLASS 1555	ACTUAL QUANTITY SOLD 1560	FORCASTED QUANTITY
1234	3/3/97	NEW YORK (JFK)	LOS ANGELES (LAX)	\$349	Y	70	300
1234	3/3/97	NEW YORK (JFK)	LOS ANGELES (LAX)	\$339	Y	100	130
1234	3/3/97	NEW YORK (JFK)	LOS ANGELES (LAX)	\$329	Y	130	140
1234	3/3/97	NEW YORK (JFK)	LOS ANGELES (LAX)	\$409	K	7	20
1234	3/3/97	NEW YORK (JFK)	LOS ANGELES (LAX)	\$399	K	40	60

1505

1510

1515

1520

1525

FIG. 15

21/27




FIG. 16a

20250606 07:53:20




FIG. 16b





FIG. 16c

24/27






FIG. 17b





FIG. 18



R&M Docket No.: 1700-2
 Client Docket No.: WD1-96-008x

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship is as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

insert title of invention:

CONDITIONAL PURCHASE OFFER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

the specification of which

<i>check one:</i>	
X	is attached hereto; or
	was filed as U.S. Patent Application Serial No. _____ on _____, and was amended on _____ (if applicable); or
	was described and claimed in International Application No. _____ filed on _____ and as amended on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendments referred to above, and that it contains a full, clear, concise and exact description of the subject matter for which a patent is sought.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56.

Prior Application(s)

(Check if applicable) I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code § 119, of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

			Priority Claimed?	
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	Day/month/year filed	Yes	No
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	Day/month/year filed	Yes	No

(Check if applicable) I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below:

08/707,660	September 4, 1996	Pending
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	Status (Patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	Status (Patented, pending, abandoned)

(Check if applicable) In this continuation-in-part application, insofar as the subject matter of any of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.


I hereby appoint Joseph B. Ryan, Reg. No. 37,922, and Kevin M. Mason, Reg. No. 36,597, of the firm of Ryan & Mason, L.L.P., whose address is 40 Cranston Street, Fairfield, Connecticut 06430, and Jeffrey L. Brandt, Reg. No. 31,490, and Robert R. Lech, Reg. No. 37,169, of Walker Digital, whose address is Four High Ridge Park, Stamford, CT 06905, as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please address all written correspondence to the following address:


Ryan & Mason, L.L.P.
40 Cranston Street
Fairfield, CT 06430
Fax (203) 255-6570


Telephone Calls should be directed to Kevin M. Mason, by dialing (203) 255-6560.


Wherefore I pray that Letters Patent be granted for the invention or discovery described and claimed in the foregoing specification and claims, and I hereby subscribe my name to the foregoing specification and claims, declaration, power of attorney, and this petition.

Full name of sole or first inventor:	Jay S. WALKER
Residence:	Same as Post Office Address
Citizenship:	U.S.A.
Post Office Address:	124 Spectacle Lane, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877
Inventor's signature:	
Date:	June 27, 1997

ADDED PAGE TO COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR SECOND AND SUBSEQUENT INVENTORS

Full name of second inventor (if any):	Bruce SCHNEIER
Residence:	Same as Post Office Address
Citizenship:	U.S.A.
Post Office Address:	101 Minnehaha Parkway, MN 55419
Inventor's signature:	
Date:	June 26, 1997

Full name of third inventor (if any):	James A. JORASCH
Residence:	Same as Post Office Address
Citizenship:	U.S.A.
Post Office Address:	25 Forest Street, Stamford, CT 06901
Inventor's signature:	
Date:	June 27, 1997

Full name of fourth inventor (if any):	T. Scott CASE
Residence:	Same as Post Office Address
Citizenship:	U.S.A.
Post Office Address:	29 Anthony Lane, Darien, Connecticut 06820
Inventor's signature:	
Date:	June 27, 1997

Applicant or Patentee: Jay S. WALKER, et al. R&M Docket No.: 1700-2

Serial or Patent No.: TO BE ASSIGNED Client's Docket No.: WD1-96-008x

Filed or Issued: TO BE ASSIGNED

Title: CONDITIONAL PURCHASE OFFER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY
STATUS (37 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(c)) - SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN

I hereby declare that I am

☐ the owner of the small business concern identified below:

☒ an official of the small business concern empowered to act on behalf of the concern identified below:

NAME OF CONCERN

Walker Asset Management, Limited Partnership

ADDRESS OF CONCERN

Four High Ridge Park, Stamford, CT 06905

I hereby declare that the above identified small business concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 13 CFR 121.3-18, and reproduced in 37 CFR 1.9 (d), for purposes of paying reduced fees under section 41(a) and (b) of Title 35, United States Code, in that the number of employees of the concern, including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purposes of this statement, (1) the number of employees of the business concern is the average over the previous fiscal year of the concern of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time or temporary basis during each of the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when either, directly or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain with the small business concern identified above with regard to the invention, entitled by inventor(s) described in

☒ the specification filed herewith

☐ application serial no. _____, filed _____

☐ patent no. _____, issued _____

If the rights held by the above identified small business concern are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having rights to the invention is listed below* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who could not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9 (d) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9 (d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9 (e).

*NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27)

NAME _____
ADDRESS _____

☐ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

NAME _____
ADDRESS _____

☐ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28 (b))

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

NAME OF PERSON SIGNING:	<u>Jay S. Walker</u>
TITLE OF PERSON OTHER THAN OWNER:	<u>President of Walker Digital Corp., as General Partner of Walker Asset Management, Limited Partnership</u>
ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING:	<u>Four High Ridge Park</u>
	<u>Stamford, CT 06905</u>

SIGNATURE: _____


DATE: June 27, 1997

268020"6T6880